

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,297	10/29/1999	MARK SCOTT	1848.0040000	7056
7	7590 12/16/2003		EXAM	INER
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			WILSON, ROBERT W	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934		JIIE 600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· Interview Summary	09/430,297	SCOTT, MARK				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W Wilson	2661				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Robert W Wilson.	(3)					
(2) <u>Ken Patterson</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 December 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-22</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
	, /	N. W. la				
	Polart	11 W. Som				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



Application No. 09/430,297

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: No agreement was made relative to the allowability of any claims. Two first action non-final rejections had be written relative to the case. The applicant's representative pointed out that the final rejection appeared to be made up with the first action non-final rejection and the arguments associated with the amendment. The applicant's representative pointed this out the day before applicant's response was due. There was not enough time for the examiner to revise the action. In order to be fair to the applicant the examiner suggested that the applicant's representative write a response that there was an error and request reconsideration. The examiner promised to revise the action appropriately upon receipt of the response. The applicant's representative also argued that the examiner had not considered all of the limitations in the claims. The examiner respectively disagrees that all limitations in the claims have been considered. All claims limitations have been considered in their broadest sense.

Shire and